

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA  
FT. MYERS DIVISION**

**Plaintiff(s),**

**v.**

**Case No.**

**Defendant(s).**

---

**CASE MANAGEMENT REPORT**

The parties have agreed on the following dates and discovery plan pursuant to  
Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(f) and Local Rule 3.05(c):

DEADLINE OR EVENT	AGREED DATE
<b>Mandatory Initial Disclosures (pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(1) as amended effective December 1, 2000)</b> [Court recommends 30 days after CMR meeting]	
<b>Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement</b> [each party who has not previously filed must file immediately]	
<b>Motions to Add Parties or to Amend Pleadings</b> [Court recommends 1 - 2 months after CMR meeting]	
<b>Disclosure of Expert Reports</b> <div>Plaintiff: Defendant:</div> [Court recommends last exchange 6 months before trial and 1 - 2 months before discovery deadline to allow expert depositions]	
<b>Discovery Deadline</b> [Court recommends 5 months before trial to allow time for dispositive motions to be filed and decided; all discovery must be commenced in time to be completed before this date]	



DEADLINE OR EVENT	AGREED DATE
All Parties Consent to Proceed Before Magistrate Judge	Yes _____ No _____  Likely to Agree in Future _____

## I. Meeting of Parties in Person

Lead counsel must meet *in person* and not by telephone absent an order permitting otherwise. Counsel will meet in the Middle District of Florida, unless counsel agree on a different location. Pursuant to Local Rule 3.05(c)(2)(B) or (c)(3)(A),<sup>1</sup> a meeting was held in person on \_\_\_\_\_ (date) at \_\_\_\_\_ (time) at \_\_\_\_\_ (place) and was attended by:

Name

Counsel for (if applicable)

## II. Pre-Discovery Initial Disclosures of Core Information

### A. Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(1)(C) - (D) Disclosures

Local Rule 3.05(d) provides that these disclosures are mandatory in Track Two cases, and optional in other cases unless otherwise ordered by the Court. Complete the following in all Track Two cases and, when applicable, in Track Three Cases:

The parties \_\_\_\_\_ have exchanged \_\_\_\_\_ agree to exchange (check one)

information described in Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(1)(C) - (D)

<sup>1</sup>A copy of the Local Rules may be viewed at <http://www.flmd.uscourts.gov>.

on by (check one) \_\_\_\_\_ (date).

Below is a description of information disclosed or scheduled for disclosure.

**B. Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(1)(A) - (B) Disclosures**

Local Rule 3.05(d) provides that these disclosures are not mandatory except as stipulated by the parties or otherwise ordered by the Court. Complete the following when applicable:

The parties \_\_\_\_ have exchanged \_\_\_\_ agree to exchange (check one)

information referenced by Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(1)(A) - (B) \_\_\_\_ on \_\_\_\_ by (check one)

\_\_\_\_\_ (date). Below is a description of information disclosed or scheduled for disclosure.

**III. Agreed Discovery Plan for Plaintiffs and Defendants**

**A. Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement —**

This Court has previously ordered each party, governmental party, intervenor, non-party movant, and Rule 69 garnishee to file and serve a Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement using a mandatory form. No party may seek discovery from any source before filing and serving a Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement. A motion, memorandum, response, or other paper — including emergency motion — is subject to being denied or stricken unless the filing party has previously filed and served its Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement. Any party who has not already filed and served the required certificate is required to do so immediately.

Every party that has appeared in this action to date has filed and served a Certificate of Interested Persons and Corporate Disclosure Statement, which remains current:

\_\_\_\_\_ Yes

\_\_\_\_\_ No Amended Certificate will be filed by \_\_\_\_\_  
(party) on or before \_\_\_\_\_ (date).

**B. Discovery Not Filed —**

The parties shall not file discovery materials with the Clerk except as provided in Local Rule 3.03. The Court encourages the exchange of discovery requests on diskette. *See* Local Rule 3.03 (f). The parties further agree as follows:

**C. Limits on Discovery —**

Absent leave of Court, the parties may take no more than ten depositions per side (not per party). Fed.R.Civ.P. 30(a)(2)(A); Fed.R.Civ.P. 31(a)(2)(A); Local Rule 3.02(b). Absent leave of Court, the parties may serve no more than twenty-five interrogatories, including sub-parts. Fed.R.Civ.P. 33(a); Local Rule 3.03(a). The parties may agree by stipulation on other limits on discovery. The Court will consider the parties' agreed dates, deadlines, and other limits in entering the scheduling order. Fed.R.Civ.P. 29. In addition to the deadlines in the above table, the parties have agreed to further limit discovery as follows:

1. Depositions
2. Interrogatories
3. Document Requests
4. Requests to Admit
5. Supplementation of Discovery

**D. Discovery Deadline —**

Each party shall timely serve discovery requests so that the rules allow for a response prior to the discovery deadline. The Court may deny as untimely all motions to compel filed after the discovery deadline. In addition, the parties agree as follows:

**E. Disclosure of Expert Testimony —**

On or before the dates set forth in the above table for the disclosure of expert reports, the parties agree to fully comply with Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(2) and 26(e). Expert testimony on direct examination at trial will be limited to the opinions, basis, reasons, data, and other information disclosed in the written expert report disclosed pursuant to this order. Failure to disclose such information may result in the exclusion of all or part of the testimony of the expert witness. The parties agree on the following additional matters pertaining to the disclosure of expert testimony:

**F. Confidentiality Agreements —**

Whether documents filed in a case may be filed under seal is a separate issue from whether the parties may agree that produced documents are confidential. The Court is a public forum, and disfavors motions to file under seal. The Court will permit the parties to file documents under seal only upon a finding of extraordinary circumstances and particularized need. *See Brown v. Advantage Engineering, Inc.*, 960 F.2d 1013 (11th Cir. 1992); *Wilson v. American Motors Corp.*, 759 F.2d 1568 (11th Cir. 1985). A party seeking to file a document under seal must file a motion to file under seal requesting such Court action, together with a memorandum of law in support. The motion, whether granted or denied, will remain in the public record.

The parties may reach their own agreement regarding the designation of materials as “confidential.” There is no need for the Court to endorse the confidentiality agreement. The Court discourages unnecessary stipulated motions for a protective order. The Court will enforce appropriate stipulated and signed confidentiality agreements. *See* Local Rule 4.15. Each confidentiality agreement or order shall provide, or shall be deemed to provide, that “no party shall file a document under seal without first having obtained an order granting leave to file under seal on a showing of particularized need.” With respect to confidentiality agreements, the parties agree as follows:

**G. Other Matters Regarding Discovery —**

**IV. Settlement and Alternative Dispute Resolution.**

**A. Settlement —**

The parties agree that settlement is

\_\_\_\_\_ likely \_\_\_\_\_ unlikely (check one)

The parties request a settlement conference before a United States Magistrate Judge.

yes                      no                      likely to request in future

**B. Arbitration —**

Local Rule 8.02(a) defines those civil cases that will be referred to arbitration automatically. Does this case fall within the scope of Local Rule 8.02(a)?

yes                      no

For cases **not** falling within the scope of Local Rule 8.02(a), the parties consent to arbitration pursuant to Local Rules 8.02(a)(3) and 8.05(b):

yes                      no                      likely to agree in future

\_\_\_\_\_ Binding                      \_\_\_\_\_ Non-Binding

In any civil case subject to arbitration, the Court may substitute mediation for arbitration upon a determination that the case is susceptible to resolution through mediation. Local Rule 8.02(b). The parties agree that this case is susceptible to resolution through mediation, and therefore jointly request mediation in place of arbitration:

yes                      no                      likely to agree in future

**C. Mediation —**

Absent arbitration or a Court order to the contrary, the parties in every case will participate in Court-annexed mediation as detailed in Chapter Nine of the Court's Local Rules. The parties have agreed on a mediator from the Court's approved list of mediators as set forth in the table above, and have agreed to the date stated in the table above as the last date for

mediation. The list of mediators is available from the Clerk, and is posted on the Court's web site at <http://www.flmd.uscourts.gov>.

**D. Other Alternative Dispute Resolution —**

The parties intend to pursue the following other methods of alternative dispute resolution:

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Counsel (with information required by Local Rule 1.05(d)) and Signature of Unrepresented Parties.

_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____
_____	_____